

MATRIX ENTERTAINMENT
in association with

OATH KEEPERS
present

GOOD GUYS WITH GUNS

*If the NRA Supported ALL of the
Second Amendment*

Fourth Draft

Written & Directed by
JAMES JAEGER

Inspired by the Book

THIRTEEN WORDS
by Edwin Vieira, Jr.

FADE IN: 04 Draft - 12 Dec 2017

NARRATOR

In recent years, the American people have suffered a series of attacks which politicians and pundits in the Mainstream Media have labeled "terrorism".

MONTAGE of mass-shootings and violence we have seen on network news the past years.

These incidents not only amount to failures of public officials to effectively "execute the laws of the union," they could be considered "insurrections" and "invasions".

"Terrorism" is defined as the actual or threatened use of force, violence, fear or intimidation by a non-state actor to attain a political, economic, social, or religious goal.

On a large scale "terrorism" could be considered an "insurrection" and if the perpetrators are foreign, it could be considered an "invasion."

What the Mainstream Media and the "gun-control" lobby will never tell you is Article I, Section 8, Clause 15 of the U.S. Constitution specifically addresses insurrections and invasions -- in short "terrorism".

TITLE: "The Congress shall have Power ... To provide for calling forth the Militia to execute the Laws of the Union, suppress Insurrections and repel Invasions."

-- Article I, Section 8, Clause 15.

To wit: Congress shall have the power "to provide for calling forth the Militia, to execute the Laws of the Union, suppress Insurrections and repel Invasions".

Given this, the Second Amendment sums it up by stating: "A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed."

In other words, the Second Amendment guarantees that Americans will always possess the tools that are indispensable for executing the Laws of the Union, suppressing insurrections and repelling Invasions. Thus the U.S. Constitution expressly provides for a means to handle so-called "terrorism."

Given these realities, this documentary will take a serious look at terrorism, mass-shootings and the National Rifle Association, also known as the NRA.

We will ask the question: why does the NRA focus on just the last 14 words of the Second Amendment ...

TITLE: "A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed."

... yet virtually ignore the first 13 words?

Specifically, why does the NRA focus on the words: "the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed" yet ignore the words "a well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State"?

TITLE: "A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed."

Why is the NRA so concerned with "the individual right to keep and bear arms" for the defense of the INDIVIDUAL, yet so unconcerned about "a well regulated Militia" for the defense of the COMMUNITY?

Our communities are under attack. Hardly a month goes by and there is another mass-shooting. Shouldn't all Americans, including the NRA, be asking the question: "What is the best way to detect and deter such atrocities or bring them to a swift end should they break out?"

Statistics tell us that 70% of the mass-shootings are over in about 5 minutes but the local police do not arrive for an average of 11 minutes.

Common sense tells us the police cannot possibly protect the citizenry from random, unpredictable mass-shootings or "terrorist" attacks.

Even though something has to be done -- a massive increase in the size of the police force, or an expansion of their powers, is not the answer. Americans cannot provide for "the security of a free State" by establishing a POLICE state.

And equally ineffective are measures proposed by the "gun-control" lobby -- measures like "gun-free zones," registration, restrictions on "concealed-carry," banning certain arms and magazines and "background checks."

In light of the on-going, and escalating mass-shootings it is obvious these "gun-control" measures are NOT working. In fact, "gun-control" -- along with commercialized promotion of terrorism by the Mainstream Media -- may be aiding and encouraging mass-shootings.

This is no "conspiracy theory".
All one has to do is turn on their
television.

ADDITIONAL MONTAGE of more mass-shootings. More terrorist
events. More carnage.

Nevertheless, throughout this
parade of horror, the NRA simply
continues to promote "the
individual right to keep and bear
arms" for personal self-defense.

MONTAGE of typical NRA advertisements and promotions about
the personal right to "keep and bear."

Obviously the "individual right to
keep and bear" -- even if exercised
by large numbers of individuals --
is insufficient to deter or stop
the mass-shootings and other forms
of domestic "terrorism"

Indeed, tens of millions of
INDIVIDUAL Americans are ALREADY
keeping and bearing arms across the
country, but have we seen the mass-
shootings stop? No.

Americans need a more effective
solution. America needs a
citizenry that not only OWNS guns,
but is trained on how to SAFELY and
effectively USE them.

We need GOOD GUYS WITH GUNS
peppered across the nation.

Trained, organized and vested with
governmental authority, "good guys
with guns" is the only solution to
the extremes of ZERO police vs a
POLICE state.

In short, America needs to
revitalize the State Militia System
in each of the 50 States. This is
what the Second Amendment and three
other Clauses in the U.S.
Constitution are all about.

Unfortunately the NRA is part of the problem, not part of the solution. It has allowed itself to be backed into a corner by the "gun-control" lobby and the Mainstream Media CONTROL GROUP.

This has happened because the NRA hides from the first 13 words of the Second Amendment. It has thus opened the door for a full frontal assault on the ownership of any arms deemed "weapons suitable for war." In other words, WE THE PEOPLE can have muskets and BB guns, but AK-47s, no way.

To the gun-control lobby Americans should never be allowed to possess "weapons of war" for any reason.

And this might make sense if ONLY the last 14 words of the Second Amendment are considered -- if the individual right to keep and bear is just for target practice, hunting and self-defense.

But the Second Amendment also says that a well-regulated Militia is "necessary." Thus, WE THE PEOPLE should have the right to keep and bear "weapons of war" because WE THE PEOPLE are expected to serve in a well-regulated Militia as the first 13 words of the Second Amendment stipulate.

Ignoring this, fanatics in the "gun-control" lobby, like Dianne Feinstein, have no problem advocating the confiscation of weapons that are "suitable for war." "Mr. and Mrs. America, turn them all in!" she screams to even the NRA.

And the NRA Leadership has to acquiesce. After all guns are only for "the individual right to keep and bear".

So the Mainstream Media, marching in lock-step with Feinstein-infested "gun-controllers" -- in essence, defines the NRA's interpretation of the Second Amendment. The American Founders would roll over in their graves.

Thanks in part to the NRA, sizable segments of the U.S. population now believe that the "gun-control" lobby only seeks to prohibit "assault weapons" and "bump stocks" out of a genuine CONCERN for "public safety".

The truth could not be more distant.

The fact is, the "gun-controllers" campaign against "assault rifles" because they don't want such weapons in the hands of WE THE PEOPLE for this is the indispensable, basic equipment of a modern, "well-regulated Militia."

Thus, the "gun-control" lobby's "loving" concern is not FOR the public -- it's all about establishing dictatorial control OVER the public.

In other words, the "gun-control" lobby -- abetted by the Mainstream Media -- wants to make it impossible for individual citizens to defend their COMMUNITIES -- it only wants to make it possible for them to defend THEMSELVES.

But this flies in the face of a self-governing nation because the practicality of a self-governing nation is that such a nation must also be self-DEFENDING.

It's the idea that, if Jews in Nazi Germany were more self-defending, it would have been more difficult for Hitler's SS to round them up in the middle of the night.

In short, banning the average citizen's possession of "assault rifles" is a key component of the "gun-control" lobby's plan to prevent Americans from ever being sufficiently trained and organized, armed and legally empowered to defend their communities. In other words, be self-defending.

It's a plan to continue the destruction of a self-defending, Constitutional Militia that would execute the laws of the Union, suppress insurrections and repel invasions as "well regulated Militia" -- integral components of America's federal system of government.

The "gun-control" lobby's ultimate aim is thus to deny Americans their natural right to be a self-governing nation living in "a free State". And this is why the "gun-control" lobby seeks to render Americans disarmed and disorganized.

To the tyrants and usurpers in the power elite, Americans must be unable to resist any imposition of the Globalist Agenda -- a world government which threatens, not only the U.S. Constitution, but the Declaration of Independence.

So why does the NRA -- which prides itself as a staunch defender of the Founding Documents -- meekly roll over in the face of such tyranny?

Again, it's the NRA's fixation on "the individual right to keep and bear arms." (beat) Given this, maybe the NRA should take a closer look at some Constitutional law.

TITLE: "It cannot be presumed, that any clause in the constitution is intended to be without effect".

In Marbury v.

Madison, the Supreme Court emphasized that "it cannot be presumed, that any clause in the constitution is intended to be without effect."

TITLE: "In expounding the Constitution, every word must have its due force, and appropriate meaning; for it is evident from the whole instrument, that no word was unnecessarily used, or needlessly added".

And in *Holmes v. Jennison*, the Court pointed out that "in expounding the Constitution, every word must have its due force, and appropriate meaning; for it is evident from the whole instrument, that no word was unnecessarily used, or needlessly added."

Therefore, the first 13 words of the Second Amendment -- "a well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State" -- CANNOT be ignored.

Rather, they must be afforded their "due force, and appropriate meaning" -- just as they were interpreted when originally incorporated into the Bill of Rights.

Thus, the only solution to the problem of mass-shootings and "terrorism" may be for WE THE PEOPLE to employ the means which the Constitution declares to be "necessary". WE THE PEOPLE must acknowledge and apply the first 13 words of the Second Amendment, not just the last 14.

And the most prominent, private organization that could help effectuate this solution is the NRA.

If the NRA supported ALL of the Second Amendment it could help prepare Americans to deal with mass-shootings.

If the NRA supported ALL of the Second Amendment it could demonstrate that "good guys with guns" are basically what Constitutional Militia are about.

If the NRA supported ALL of the Second Amendment it could lead the way to a more perfect union.

But unfortunately the NRA is not doing any of this. By disseminating its truncated reading of the Second Amendment to the general public, the NRA lends credibility to the notion that Americans can somehow guarantee "the security of a free State" by purely individual, uncoordinated actions alone.

This is a dangerous misconception, for a "free State" is NOT just a group of disorganized individuals, each acting alone -- it's a politically-organized body of citizens acting in unison on behalf of their community.

This is what "common defence" is all about. Concerted activity which defines and coordinates how "good guys with guns" can deal with things such as mass-shootings.

It's common defense that secures the "Blessings of Liberty -- not the "individual right to keep and bear."

And no one who has studied America's Colonial Charters, the Declaration of Independence, the constitutions of the independent states, the Articles of Confederation and/or the Second Amendment can come to any other conclusion.

People who espouse the "individual right to keep and bear arms" are deluding themselves. They are confusing the issue for everyone else.

Unwittingly, they are undermining "a free State" and "the common defence". They are putting "the Blessings of Liberty" in peril, for again, it is impossible to provide these things through "the individual right to keep and bear" alone.

The "security of a free State" cannot be achieved by private individuals no matter how well-armed or well-intentioned. Vigilantism -- the action of well-intentioned "good guys with guns," but no state authority -- does not work and is precarious.

Vigilantism cannot provide for the "common defense" because it is not properly connected and integrated into the overall Constitutional System of Government.

MONTAGE of para-military police-state exercises, operations, deployments in the United States (e.g., after Boston Marathon bombing).

And every clear-eyed American knows that the "individual right to keep and bear" has done NOTHING to forestall or reverse the rise of the para-military police-state we now see creeping throughout America. Thus, advocates of the "individual right" are actually a threat to freedom and the "Blessings of Liberty".

If effective measures are to be taken to deal with the dangers we face, only "good guys with guns" -- organized as a "well-regulated Militia" in every state -- can meet the challenge.

The revitalization of the Militia System is thus vital, not only because the Supreme Law of the Land requires it, but because nothing else seems to work.

As integral and permanent components of the federal system, the Militia are thus indispensable.

Even Congress cannot dispense with them, because they are the Militia of the STATES -- not the Militia of UNITED STATES. Congress thus has no more power or authority to disestablish the Militia System than it does to disestablish any particular STATE in the Union.

And even the STATES themselves can't dispense with the Militia for Congress retains the right under "the supreme Law of the Land" to call them forth FROM the States "to execute the Laws of the Union, suppress Insurrections and repel Invasions."

Lastly, even WE THE PEOPLE cannot dispense with the Militia because "the right of the people to keep and bear Arms," is ultimately for the purpose of WE THE PEOPLE'S service in "well regulated Militia".

These words not only recognize a RIGHT, but imply a DUTY that WE THE PEOPLE have to serve in the Militia System and this cannot be "infringed" by anyone.

The unfortunate fact is that no constitutional Militia exist in any of the 50 States today.

TITLE:

"(a) The militia of the United States consists of all able-bodied males at least 17 years of age and ... under 45 years of age who are, or who have made a declaration of intention to become, citizens of the United States and of female citizens of the United States who are members of the National Guard.

(b) The classes of the militia are-

(1) the organized militia, which consists of the

National Guard and the Naval Militia; and

(2) the unorganized militia, which consists of the members of the militia who are not members of the National Guard or the Naval Militia."

-- Title 10, United States Code, Section 246.

NARRATOR

In fact, Congress and the States have made a hash of the Militia System by dividing it into two parts: the Organized Militia" and the Unorganized Militia. The Organized Militia is now called the National Guard and the unorganized militia consists of almost everyone else.

Whatever its merits the National Guard is not a Constitutional Militia.

TITLE: "No State shall, without the Consent of Congress, ... keep Troops or Ships of War in time of Peace." -- Article I, Section 10, Clause 3

NARRATOR

The National Guard is actually the "Troops" referred to in Article I, Section 10, Clause 3 of the Constitution. The Constitution says that the States may keep these "Troops" -- and also "Ships of War" -- in times of peace with the Consent of Congress.

Nevertheless, none of this is the Militia System the Founders' wrote into the original U.S. Constitution. It's a total bastardization of the Framers' well-regulated Militia and their original intent. And this should be obvious, for membership in the National Guard does NOT include every eligible citizen among WE THE PEOPLE.

The Militia System established by the Founders grants membership to ALL able-bodied citizens.

Another clue that the National Guard is no Militia is that it can be called forth for ANY frivolous, unconstitutional or even treasonous purpose deemed "necessary" by the federal government. Not just the three purposes set forth in Article I, Section 8, Clause 15 -- to enforce the laws of the Union, suppress insurrections and repel invasions.

TITLE: "The Congress shall have Power ... To provide for calling forth the Militia to execute the Laws of the Union, suppress Insurrections and repel Invasions."

-- Article I, Section 8, Clause 15.

NARRATOR

Even more alarming, the National Guard can be directly incorporated into the Army, and other armed services of the federal government, what the Founding Fathers would call a "standing army". The Militia, as established by the Founders, can never be part of a "standing army."

So in these ways, by bastardizing and cannibalizing the Militia System established by the Founders, the federal government has slowly usurped power from the States over the past 100 years.

(beat)
And as far as the "UNorganized militia" -- this is no Constitutional Militia either.

Congress and the States have simply relegated the greater number of other Americans -- generally unorganized, unarmed, undisciplined, and ungoverned by any statute -- into what is ironically called the UNorganized Militia. This is where all the "good guys with guns" -- exercising their individual right to keep and bear arms -- are sequestered away to do absolutely NOTHING.

Again, this is no Militia at all for Congress's Constitutional power and duty is to "provide for organizing, arming, and disciplining, the Militia" -- NOT have them do NOTHING -- or whither away as millions of Americans sit in horror watching mass-shootings parade across their network TV screens every month.

Yes, the NRA's emphasis on the "individual right to keep and bear arms" does NOTHING to remedy ANY of this. It does nothing to compel the States to maintain a "well regulated Militia" composed of citizens.

For if the Militia System were revitalized, tens of millions of "good guys with guns" would be appropriately armed for Militia service and thus be in a better position to stop mass-shootings many times faster than local police that are always 11 minutes away.

More importantly, "good guys with guns" in a revitalized Militia would be able to provide community self-defense against other dangers, some even more serious than common criminals and mass-shootings.

Natural disasters, industrial accidents, epidemics, breakdowns of the technological infrastructure, and even an economic crises caused by a collapse of the Federal Reserve System are catastrophes that a Constitutional Militia System was designed to address.

Contemporary police forces, fire departments, and emergency "first" responders are no match for what millions of people, in all 50 states, well-organized in Constitutional Militia, could accomplish.

As catastrophic events have proven time and again, police, fire departments, emergency "first" responders and even FEMA are simply too far away, or too small, or too dispersed, or inadequately equipped and trained to BE at the scene when effective relief is vital.

Again, a centralized security apparatus -- such as what the central government in the DC Swamp has now evolved -- inevitably delivers too little, too late. The police show up in 11 minutes whereas "good guys with guns" are always present.

Were they also trained, and were the Militia revitalized, specialized units within the Militia -- akin to the "Minutemen" of yesterday -- could be called upon in very large numbers to assist in any and all conceivable ways. In other words, the Militia do not have to just carry guns, they could carry stethoscopes or pencils.

The anti-Constitutional scheme now envisioned by the power elite is a top-down system of "homeland security" hell-bent on "keeping the peace" with "martial law" -- in other words brute force imposed at a distance by rogue politicians emanating out of the Washington DC swamp. This is exactly what F.A. Hayek said would never work in his book, THE ROAD TO SERFDOM.

A totalitarian police state acceptable to power-hungry politicians, but hardly acceptable to the Founders who established the U.S. Republic.

And the notion promoted by misguided patriots that so-called "private militia" can substitute for a well-regulated, Constitutional Militia is untenable.

That a group of private individuals calls itself a "militia" does not make it a Militia any more than a group of private individuals that call themselves "the Palace Guards of the Grand Duchess" makes them any sort of royalty.

Worst of all, "private militia" play right into the hands of the "gun-control" lobby by poisoning the public discourse.

Although misguided, most "private militia" are presumably well-intentioned and law-abiding.

Nevertheless their very existence gives the cutthroat, pundits in the Mainstream Media ammunition to brand any "rotten apple" that comes along as a member of a "militia." The gun-controllers have thus come up with a rainbow of names their sycophants in the media spew out to the public endlessly. Vigilantes," "fascists," "haters," "extremists".

Thus anyone who utters a favorable opinion about revitalization of the Militia -- or even dares to use the "M" word in polite company -- is ostracized like the bearer of a scarlet letter.

Also, it is not enough to just point out the existence of all these problems: Americans must answer the question: "What is to be done to address the mass-shootings and security problems the nation faces?"

And to this problem, the NRA arises as a potential solution. If so, the NRA should take a serious look at marshaling its vast resources towards an understanding of the first 13 words of the Second Amendment and ultimately the revitalization of the State Militia System.

There are many reasons why the NRA should and could be in the forefront of such an effort.

First and foremost, the NRA is an already existing, well-established national organization.

Second, with mass-shootings and terrorist attacks escalating, it's only a matter of time before patriotic Americans will heed the crystal-clear words of the Second Amendment and begin the process of Militia revitalization on their own -- with or without the NRA.

Unfortunately, just because revitalization of the Militia is probably inevitable, there is no guarantee such patriots will be successful in a timely manner.

Also, to convince Congress and the State legislatures to act it will most likely require a nationwide effort through a nationwide organization with extensive membership, political influence, presence in the media and financial wherewithal.

But to build such a nationwide organization from scratch would take a significant amount of time -- time which impending dangers may not permit. America, and "good guys with guns" should work with the resources now at hand.

True enough -- the NRA has been criticized for being "too soft," "too pliable," "too apt to play politics," and even "too willing to compromise with "the "gun-control" lobby to be depended upon to even fully defend "the individual right to keep and bear"

And true enough -- the NRA has yet to promote even a whisper of the revitalization of the Militia -- tending instead to invalidate it by avoiding mention of the first 13 words.

Nonetheless, the NRA COULD do an about face. It is replete, after all, with millions of "good guys with guns" that fully GIT the Second Amendment.

It is also a prestigious and powerful organization which could make revitalization of the Militia System possible in the short order -- even fashionable or sexy. For what could be sexier than seeing millions of "good guys with guns" -- heros like Steven Willeford -- reduce mass-shootings at churches, concerts and public spaces to zero.

To be sure, the NRA is in an anomalous position for the NRA is a private organization, whereas the Militia are governmental institutions.

The NRA's present fixation on "the individual right to keep and bear arms" is however of only marginal relevance to revitalization of the Militia, an effort that will involve collective efforts on a massive scale. But by requiring numerous "good guys with guns" to be equipped with firearms and trained in their use, a revitalized Militia would secure "the individual right to keep and bear arms" more effectively than the NRA could ever do on its own.

The NRA is in a uniquely advantageous position to promote revitalization of "well regulated Militia."

In fact, the NRA's own by-laws stipulate support for the Militia as one of the organization's primary purposes and objectives.

TITLE:

"The purposes and objective of the National Rifle Association are: 1. To protect and defend the Constitution of the United States, especially with respect to the inalienable right of the individual American citizen guaranteed by such Constitution to acquire, possess, collect, exhibit, transport, carry, transfer ownership of, and enjoy the right to use arms, in order that the people may always be in a position to exercise their legitimate individual rights of self defense and defense of family, person, and property, as well as **to serve in the appropriate militia for the common defense** of the Republic and the individual liberty of its citizens; 2. To promote public safety, law and order, and the national defense; 3. **To train members of law enforcement agencies, the armed forces, the militia,** and people of good repute in marksmanship and in the safe handling and efficient use of small arms."

NARRATOR

Because the Militia are governmental institutions, their revitalization will require governmental action.

Fortunately, even though the NRA is a private organization, it has had long and successful relationship with the federal government as well as the states. No similar private organization can boast this.

Thus a symbiotic relationship already exists between the NRA and the government. This could greatly facilitate a revitalization effort.

By providing public officials with expert guidance and assistance in revitalizing the Militia, the NRA could become an even more reliable, effective, and respected partner with government at every level of the federal system.

TITLE: See "NRA Outdoors Offers Various Classes for 2016", American Rifleman (January 2016), at 102.

For example, the NRA already offers extensive programs for training Americans in the safe and effective use of firearms. Coupled with such new programs as the "Survival Class," the "Tactical Carbine Class," and the "Long Range School," these could easily provide building-blocks for a comprehensive course of basic Militia training.

Moreover, the NRA has strengths that no other private organization can match. These include an historical pedigree; a large and committed membership; knowledgeable and experienced leadership and staff; significant financial resources; qualified firearms instructors and tested programs; a record of success in promoting patriotic candidates for public office; a network of effective legislative lobbyists;

a voice in most media; and a favorable reputation among most sensible Americans.

Even while under incessant attack by "gun-control" fanatics and their megaphones in the Mainstream Media, the NRA has steadily increased its membership, activities, and influence.

These successes suggest that, in addition to defending the last 14 words of the Second Amendment, the NRA could advance the cause which the Amendment's first 13 words make imperative. This would be a monumental accomplishment at this juncture in the course of Human Events.

But were the NRA to take up this challenge, it's almost a certainty that the "gun-control" lobby and other enemies of "a free State" -- would come out of their caves and attack it ferociously

This, however, would only prove how vital to the survival of the country a revitalized Militia is. For, as the old saying goes, "you really know you're bombing over the target when the flack is the greatest."

Indeed, the last thing the Globalists who have usurped power in Washington DC want to see is well-trained, well-regulated "good guys with guns" peppered all over the 50 states infringing on their "gun-free zones."

So what specifically should the NRA Leadership and Membership do?

First, it should not recede from its advocacy of "the individual right to keep and bear arms."

Second, it should emphasize the advantages of a revitalized Militia System.

It should do this by directing its leadership and staff to educate the organization's members, public officials, political candidates, opinion leaders, media pundits, and WE THE PEOPLE in general about the Militia System.

It should inform all these people what is really at stake in the acrimonious national debate about "gun-control."

It should emphasize that ultimately the debate over "gun-control" is not about "guns" versus "no guns" or "less guns" verses "certain guns." It is about "GOOD GUYS WITH GUNS" versus "BAD GUYS WITH GUNS."

It is about whether WE THE PEOPLE will retain, in their own hands, the practical ability, and the supreme legal authority, to insure that they will always govern themselves, rather than being governed by some ELITE WITH GUNS.

It is about whether Americans will restore and preserve "a free State" or become the subjects of some sort of OTHER state.

Are we asking too much of the NRA?

Hardly. There is no reason the leaders, members and friends of the NRA cannot join patriots like those who once mustered at Lexington and Concord.

Just as the Founding Fathers mustered the foresight to understand why "the right of the people to keep and bear Arms" can ONLY be guaranteed if "the people" are organized in "well regulated Militia."

And just as the Founders mustered the courage to commit themselves to the defense of "a free State" in the only manner in which a Declaration of Independence could be viable.

Americans today should take note.

And if the cold reason of history and constitutional principles do not suffice, then surely fear will. For Samuel Johnson once observed that nothing focuses a man's mind more than his impending hanging.

The same may be true for a country faced with its own imminent demise.

But in the final analysis it will first be up to you -- the viewers of this film -- to prod the NRA into action.

Members and friends of the NRA should then be encouraged to continuously ask the organization's Leadership and Membership three simple questions:

First. If the Second Amendment contains 27 words, why does the NRA focus on just the last 14?

Second. How can the NRA claim to defend "a free State" when it neglects what the Second Amendment declares to be "necessary to the security of a free State"?

Third. How can just the "individual right to keep and bear arms" provide the organization and training required for America's collective defense at every level of the federal system?

TITLE: Recommended reading:

Constitutional "Homeland Security"

Thirteen Words

Three Rights

The Sword and Sovereignty

By Tyranny Out of Necessity: The Bastardy of "Martial Law"

NARRATOR

Ask questions like this and demand answers. If you are uncertain as to how a Constitutional Militia works, watch our two earlier documentaries on this subject -- MOLON LABE and MIDNIGHT RIDE.

A self-governing nation must take responsibility for its own defense because the police will always be 11 minutes away. On the other hand "good guys with guns", Oath Keepers and NRA Members are potentially everywhere.

Let's use that potential wisely.

Let's command the *Power of the Sword* to secure the blessings of Liberty. We have everything to win and nothing to lose.