

MATRIX ENTERTAINMENT
in association with

OATH KEEPERS
present

GOOD GUYS WITH GUNS

*How the Second Amendment
Can Stop Mass Shootings*

Seventh Draft

Written & Directed by
JAMES JAEGER

Inspired by the Book

THIRTEEN WORDS
by Edwin Vieira, Jr.

FADE IN: 07 Draft - 03 Feb 2018

NARRATOR

In recent years, the American people have suffered a series of attacks which politicians and pundits in the Mainstream Media have labeled "terrorism".

MONTAGE of mass-shootings and violence we have seen on network news the past years.

These incidents not only amount to failures of public officials to effectively "execute the laws of the union," they could be considered "insurrections" and "invasions".

"Terrorism" is defined as the actual or threatened use of force, violence, fear or intimidation by a non-state actor to attain a political, economic, social, or religious goal.

On a large scale "terrorism" could be considered an "insurrection" and if the perpetrators are foreign, it could be considered an "invasion."

What the Mainstream Media and the "gun-control" lobby will never tell you is Article I, Section 8, Clause 15 of the U.S. Constitution specifically addresses insurrections and invasions -- in short "terrorism".

TITLE: "The Congress shall have Power ... To provide for calling forth the Militia to execute the Laws of the Union, suppress Insurrections and repel Invasions."

-- Article I, Section 8, Clause 15.

To wit: Congress shall have the power "to provide for calling forth the Militia, to execute the Laws of the Union, suppress Insurrections and repel Invasions".

Given this, the Second Amendment sums it up by stating: "A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed."

In other words, the Second Amendment guarantees that Americans will always possess the tools that are indispensable for executing the Laws of the Union, suppressing insurrections and repelling Invasions. Thus the U.S. Constitution expressly provides for a means to handle so-called "terrorism."

Given these realities, this documentary will take a serious look at mass-shootings and attempt to propose solutions to stop them.

We will also ask the question: why does the "gun control" lobby only permit a dialog centering around the last 14 words of the Second Amendment ...

TITLE: "A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed."

... yet the first 13 words are virtually ignored in all media?

Specifically, why does the "gun-control" lobby focus on the words: "the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed" yet ignore the words "a well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State"?

TITLE: "A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed."

Why is the "gun-control" lobby so concerned with "the individual right to keep and bear arms" for the defense of the INDIVIDUAL, yet so unconcerned about "a well regulated Militia" for the defense of the COMMUNITY?

Our communities are under attack. Hardly a month goes by and there is another mass-shooting. Shouldn't all Americans, including the Mainstream Media, be asking the question: "What is the best way to detect and deter such atrocities or bring them to a swift end should they break out?"

Statistics tell us that 70% of the mass-shootings are over in about 5 minutes but the local police do not arrive for an average of 11 minutes.

Common sense tells us the police cannot possibly protect the citizenry from random, unpredictable mass-shootings or "terrorist" attacks.

Even though something has to be done -- a massive increase in the size of the police force, or an expansion of their powers, is not the answer. Americans cannot provide for "the security of a free State" by establishing a POLICE state.

And equally ineffective are other measures proposed by the "gun-control" lobby -- measures like "gun-free zones," registration, restrictions on "concealed-carry," banning certain arms and magazines and "background checks."

In light of the on-going, and escalating mass-shootings it is obvious these "gun-control" measures are NOT working.

In fact, "gun-control" -- along with commercialized promotion of terrorism by the Mainstream Media -- may be aiding and encouraging mass-shootings. This is no "conspiracy theory". All one has to do is turn on their television.

ADDITIONAL MONTAGE of more mass-shootings. More terrorist events. More carnage.

Nevertheless, throughout this parade of horror, the only discourse considered politically correct by the "gun-control" lobby and Mainstream Media is the half truth that "the individual right to keep and bear arms" for personal self-defense is sufficient.

MONTAGE of typical advertisements and promotions about the personal right to "keep and bear."

Unfortunately the "individual right to keep and bear" -- even if exercised by large numbers of individuals -- is insufficient to deter or stop the mass-shootings and other forms of domestic "terrorism"

And the proof is that tens of millions of INDIVIDUAL Americans are ALREADY "keep and bear arms" across the country, but have we still have horrific mass-shootings.

It is obvious that Americans need a more effective solution. America needs a citizenry that not only OWNS guns, but is TRAINED on how to SAFELY and effectively USE them.

In other words, we need GOOD GUYS WITH GUNS peppered across the nation.

Trained, organized and vested with governmental authority, "good guys with guns" would provide the only alternative to the extremes of ZERO police and a POLICE state.

In short, America needs to revitalize the State Militia System established by the Founders. Each of the 50 states should have its own Militia. This is what the Second Amendment and the three other Militia Clauses in the U.S. Constitution are all about.

Unfortunately the "gun-control" lobby totally and completely suppresses this solution. By relegating the discussion to just the "individual right to keep and bear," advocates of a revitalized Militia System are backed into a corner.

This has happened because too many people in America have bought into the idea that "militia" are outdated or no longer useful. Too many people are thus hiding from the first 13 words of the Second Amendment which stipulate that Militia are also necessary.

As a result this has opened the door to a full frontal assault on the "individual right to keep and bear" any "weapons suitable for war." In other words, for the "gun-control" lobby, muskets and BB guns are okay, but a Barrett REC7 or AK47 -- no way.

To the "gun-control" lobby Americans should never be allowed to possess "weapons of war" even though a Militia may be called upon to suppress an insurrection or repel an invasion.

Again, if the purpose for gun ownership were only for target shooting, hunting and self-defense, the last 14 words of the Second Amendment might make sense.

But the Second Amendment also says that a well-regulated Militia is "necessary." Thus, WE THE PEOPLE should have the right to keep and bear "weapons of war" because WE THE PEOPLE are expected to serve in a well-regulated Militia exactly as advised by the first 13 words of the Second Amendment.

Continuing to ignore these things, fanatics in the "gun-control" lobby, like Dianne Feinstein, have no problem advocating the confiscation of weapons that are "suitable for war." "Mr. and Mrs. America, turn them all in!" she "screams," especially at gun organizations like the NRA.

And this puts gun organizations, Second Amendment advocates and even gun manufactures into a bad position. After all guns are only for "the individual right to keep and bear".

So the Mainstream Media -- marching in lock-step with Feinstein and other "gun-controllers" -- in essence defines the public's interpretation of the Second Amendment. The American Founders would roll over in their graves.

Thanks to the "gun-control" lobby's universal propaganda machine -- CNN, MSNBC, ABC, CBS, NBC, the New York Times and other Mainstream Media -- sizable segments of the U.S. population now believe that the "gun-control" lobby only seeks to prohibit "assault weapons" and "bump stocks" out of a genuine CONCERN for "public safety".

The truth could not be more different.

The fact is, the "gun-controllers" campaign against "assault rifles" because they don't want such weapons in the hands of WE THE PEOPLE for they are the indispensable, basic equipment of a modern, "well-regulated Militia."

Thus, the "gun-control" lobby's "CONCERN" is not FOR the public -- it's all about establishing dictatorial control OVER the public.

In other words, the "gun-control" lobby -- abetted by the Mainstream Media -- wants to make it impossible for individual citizens to defend their COMMUNITIES. It only wants to generate the ILLUSION that individually armed citizens would be able to defend their COMMUNITIES.

This flies in the face of a self-governing nation for a self-governing nation is a nation that is self-DEFENDING.

It's the idea that, if Jews in Nazi Germany were more self-defending, it would have been more difficult for Hitler's SS to round them up in the middle of the night.

In short, banning the average citizen's possession of "assault rifles" is a key component of the "gun-control" lobby's plan to prevent Americans from ever being sufficiently trained and organized, armed and legally empowered to defend their communities. In other words, be self-defending.

It's a plan to make impossible a self-defending People who would execute the laws of the Union, suppress insurrections and repel invasions as "well regulated Militia" -- integral components of America's federal system of government.

The "gun-control" lobby's ultimate aim is thus to deny Americans their natural right to be a self-governing nation living in "a free State". This is why the "gun-control" lobby seeks to render Americans disarmed and disorganized.

To the tyrants and usurpers in the power elite, Americans must be unable to resist any imposition of the Globalist Agenda -- a world government which threatens, not only the U.S. Constitution, but the Declaration of Independence.

So why do so many citizens -- which pride themselves as staunch defenders of the Founding Documents -- meekly roll over in the face of such tyranny?

Again, it's a fixation on "the individual right to keep and bear arms." (beat) Given this, maybe Americans should take a closer look at some Constitutional law.

TITLE: "It cannot be presumed, that any clause in the constitution is intended to be without effect".

In Marbury v. Madison, the Supreme Court emphasized that "it cannot be presumed, that any clause in the constitution is intended to be without effect."

TITLE: "In expounding the Constitution, every word must have its due force, and appropriate meaning; for it is evident from the whole instrument, that no word was unnecessarily used, or needlessly added".

And in Holmes v. Jennison, the Court pointed out that "in expounding the Constitution, every word must have its due force, and appropriate meaning; for it is evident from the whole instrument, that no word was unnecessarily used, or needlessly added."

Therefore, the first 13 words of the Second Amendment -- "a well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State" -- CANNOT be ignored.

Rather, these words must be afforded their "due force, and appropriate meaning" -- just as they were when originally incorporated into the Bill of Rights.

Thus, the only solution to the problem of mass-shootings and "terrorism" is for WE THE PEOPLE to employ the means which the Constitution declares to be "necessary". WE THE PEOPLE must acknowledge and apply the first 13 words of the Second Amendment, not just the last 14.

And, as fate would have it, the most prominent, private organization that could help effectuate this solution is the NRA.

The NRA, by supporting all of the *Second Amendment* could help prepare Americans to deal with mass-shootings.

If it could be demonstrated that "good guys with guns" -- a term originated by the NRA -- are basically what Constitutional Militia are about this could lead to a better understanding of the Framers' original intent.

To the degree Americans truncate the Second Amendment it lends credibility to the notion that Americans can somehow guarantee "the security of a free State" by purely individual, uncoordinated actions alone.

This is a dangerous misconception, for a "free State" is NOT just a group of disorganized individuals, each acting alone -- it's a politically-organized body of citizens acting in unison on behalf of their community.

This is what "common defense" is all about. Concerted activity which defines and coordinates how "good guys with guns" can deal with things such as mass-shootings.

It's common defense that secures the "Blessings of Liberty -- not the "individual right to keep and bear."

No one who has studied America's Colonial Charters, the Declaration of Independence, the constitutions of the independent states, the Articles of Confederation and/or the Second Amendment can come to any other conclusion.

People who espouse the "individual right to keep and bear arms" are deluding themselves. They are confusing the issue for everyone else. Unwittingly, they are undermining "a free State" and "the common defense". They are putting "the Blessings of Liberty" in peril, for again, it is impossible to provide true Liberty through "the individual right to keep and bear" alone.

The "security of a free State" cannot be achieved by private individuals no matter how well-armed or well-intentioned.

Vigilantism -- the action of well-intentioned "good guys with guns," but no state authority -- does not work and is precarious.

Vigilantism cannot provide for the "common defense" because it is not properly connected and integrated into the overall Constitutional System of Government.

And every clear-eyed American knows that the "individual right to keep and bear" has done NOTHING to forestall or reverse the rise of the para-military police-state we now see creeping throughout America. Thus, advocates of the "individual right" are actually a threat to freedom and the "Blessings of Liberty".

MONTAGE of para-military police-state exercises, operations, deployments in the United States (e.g., after Boston Marathon bombing).

If effective measures are to be taken to deal with the dangers we face, only "good guys with guns" -- organized as a "well-regulated Militia" in every state -- can meet the challenge.

The revitalization of the Militia System is thus vital, not only because the Supreme Law of the Land requires it, but because nothing else can take its place and perform its function.

As integral and permanent components of the federal system, the Militia are thus indispensable.

Even Congress cannot dispense with them, because they are the Militia of the STATES -- not the Militia of UNITED STATES. Congress thus has no more power or authority to dispense with the Militia than it does to dispense with any STATE in the Union.

And even the STATES themselves can't dispense with the Militia, for Congress retains the right under "the Supreme Law of the Land" to call them forth FROM the States "to execute the Laws of the Union, suppress Insurrections and repel Invasions."

Lastly, even WE THE PEOPLE cannot dispense with the Militia because "the right of the people to keep and bear Arms," is ultimately for the purpose of WE THE PEOPLE'S service in "well regulated Militia".

These words not only recognize a RIGHT, but imply a DUTY that WE THE PEOPLE have to serve in the Militia System and this cannot be "infringed" by anyone.

The unfortunate fact is that no constitutional Militia exist in any of the 50 States today.

TITLE:

"(a) The militia of the United States consists of all able-bodied males at least 17 years of age and ... under 45 years of age who are, or who have made a declaration of intention to become, citizens of the United States and of female citizens of the United States who are members of the National Guard.

(b) The classes of the militia are-

- (1) the organized militia, which consists of the National Guard and the Naval Militia; and
- (2) the unorganized militia, which consists of the members of the militia who are not members of the National Guard or the Naval Militia."

-- Title 10, United States Code, Section 246.

NARRATOR

Congress and the States have made a hash of the Militia System by dividing it into two parts: the "Organized Militia" and the "Unorganized Militia." The Organized Militia now consists of basically the National Guard and the unorganized militia consists of almost everyone else.

Unfortunately whatever its merits, the National Guard is not a Constitutional Militia.

TITLE: "No State shall, without the Consent of Congress, ... keep Troops or Ships of War in time of Peace." -- Article I, Section 10, Clause 3

NARRATOR

The National Guard is actually the "Troops" referred to in Article I, Section 10, Clause 3 of the Constitution. The Constitution says that the States may keep these "Troops" in times of peace with the Consent of Congress.

Again, none of this is the Militia System the Founders' wrote into the original U.S. Constitution. It's a total bastardization of the Framers' well-regulated Militia and their original intent ... and this should be obvious, for membership in the National Guard does NOT include every eligible citizen among WE THE PEOPLE. The Militia System established by the Founders includes ALL able-bodied citizens.

Another clue that the National Guard is no Militia is that it can be called forth for ANY frivolous, unconstitutional or even treasonous purpose deemed "necessary" by whatever politicians happen to be in office.

The Militia provided by the Founders can be called for only the three specific purposes set forth in Article I, Section 8, Clause 15 -
- to enforce the laws of the Union, suppress insurrections and repel invasions.

TITLE: "The Congress shall have Power ... To provide for calling forth the Militia to execute the Laws of the Union, suppress Insurrections and repel Invasions."

-- Article I, Section 8, Clause 15.

NARRATOR

Even more alarming, the National Guard can be directly incorporated into the armed services of the federal government, what the Founding Fathers called a "standing army". The Militia, as established by the Founders, can never be part of a "standing army."

So in these ways, by bastardizing and cannibalizing the Militia System established by the Founders, the federal government has slowly usurped power from the States over the past 100 years.

(beat)

And as far as the "UNorganized militia" -- this is no Constitutional Militia either.

Congress and the States have simply relegated the greater number of other Americans -- generally unorganized, unarmed, undisciplined, and ungoverned by any statute -- into what is ironically called the UNorganized Militia. This is where all the "good guys with guns" -- exercising their individual right to keep and bear arms -- are sequestered away to do absolutely NOTHING.

Again, this is no Militia at all.

Congress's Constitutional power and duty is to "provide for organizing, arming, and disciplining, the Militia" -- NOT have them do NOTHING -- or whither away as millions of Americans sit in horror watching mass-shootings parade across their network TV screens every month.

Yes, the "gun-control" lobby's emphasis on the "individual right to keep and bear arms" does NOTHING to remedy ANY of this. It does nothing to compel the States to maintain "well regulated Militia" composed of the vast majority of citizens.

For if the Militia System were revitalized, tens of millions of "good guys with guns" would be appropriately armed for Militia service and thus be in a better position to stop mass-shootings many times faster than the police that again are usually 11 minutes away.

More importantly, "good guys with guns" in a revitalized Militia would be able to provide community self-defense against other dangers, some even more serious than common criminals and mass-shootings.

Natural disasters, industrial accidents, epidemics, breakdowns of the technological infrastructure, and even an economic crisis caused by a collapse of the Federal Reserve System are catastrophes that a Constitutional Militia System could address.

Contemporary police forces, fire departments, and emergency "first" responders are no match for what millions of people, in all 50 states, well-organized in Constitutional Militia, could accomplish.

As catastrophic events have proven time and again, police, fire departments, emergency "first" responders and even FEMA are simply too far away, or too small, or too dispersed, or inadequately equipped and trained to BE at the scene when effective relief is vital.

Again, a centralized security apparatus -- such as what the central government in the DC Swamp has now evolved -- inevitably delivers too little, too late. The police show up AFTER the shooting whereas "good guys with guns" could be present DURING the shooting.

Were the Militia System revitalized, specialized units akin to the "Minutemen" of yesterday -- could also be called upon. In other words, the Militia do not have to just carry guns, they could carry stethoscopes, pencils or laptops.

The anti-Constitutional scheme now envisioned by the power elite is little more than a top-down system of "homeland security" hell-bent on "keeping the peace" with "martial law". Brute force, imposed at a distance by rogue politicians in the Washington DC swamp, is the current vision of national "security." This is exactly what F.A. Hayek said would never work in his book, THE ROAD TO SERFDOM.

A totalitarian police state may be acceptable to power-hungry politicians and ignorant pundits in the Mainstream Media, but it would hardly be acceptable to the Founders who established the U.S. Republic.

And the notion promoted by misguided patriots that so-called "private militia" can substitute for a well-regulated, Constitutional Militia is equally untenable.

That a group of private individuals calls itself a "militia" does not MAKE it a Militia any more than a group of private individuals calling themselves "Palace Guards of the Grand Duchess" makes them any sort of royalty.

Worst of all, "private militia" play right into the hands of the "gun-control" lobby by poisoning the public discourse.

Although misguided, most "private militia" are presumably well-intentioned and law-abiding citizens.

Nevertheless their very existence gives cutthroat pundits in the Mainstream Media ammunition to brand any "rotten apple" that comes along as a member of a so-called "militia movement." And a rainbow of terms deceives the public as to what "militia" are supposed to be all about: "vigilantes," "fascists," "haters" and "extremists".

Thus anyone who thinks or utters a favorable opinion about revitalization of the Militia -- or even dares to use the "M" word in polite company -- is ostracized like the bearer of Nathaniel Hawthorn's scarlet letter.

Unfortunately, it is not enough to just point out the existence of all these problems, Americans must answer the question: "What is to be done to address the mass-shootings and other security problems of the nation?"

The NRA could help address this question by marshaling its vast resources towards an understanding of the first 13 words of the Second Amendment.

Then as citizens better understand Constitutional principles -- and the reason for a balance of power between the states and the federal government -- they will realize that the Militia System should be revitalized.

They will realize how "good guys with guns" peppered across the nation could really work. How mass-shootings and other forms of terrorism could really become non-existent -- just like they were non-existent for the first 300 years surrounding the formation of the nation. And just like they are non-existent in Switzerland today -- a country with a Militia System.

There are many reasons the NRA could and should be in the forefront of such an effort.

First and foremost, the NRA is well-established, well-respected national organization.

Second, with mass-shootings and terrorist attacks escalating, it's only a matter of time before patriotic Americans will heed the crystal-clear words of the Second Amendment and begin the process of Militia revitalization on their own.

Unfortunately, just because the impulse to revitalize the Militia System is probably inevitable at some point, there is no guarantee such an mission would be successful or in a timely manner.

To convince Congress and the State legislatures to act would require a nationwide effort through a nationwide organization with extensive membership, political influence, presence in the media and financial wherewithal.

But to build such a nationwide organization from scratch would take a significant amount of time -- time which impending dangers may not permit. America, and "good guys with guns" should work with the resources now at hand.

True enough -- the NRA has been criticized for being "too soft," "too pliable," "too apt to play politics," and even "too willing to compromise with "the "gun-control" lobby to be depended upon to even fully defend "the individual right."

And true enough -- the NRA has yet to promote even a whisper of a revitalized Militia System.

Nevertheless, the NRA could reconsider. After all the NRA is replete with millions of "good guys with guns" that already fully get the Second Amendment and the wisdom behind a Militia System.

The NRA is a prestigious and powerful organization which could thus make revitalization of the Militia System possible in short order. It could even make it fashionable, for what could be more exciting than seeing millions of "good guys with guns" -- heroes like Stephen Willeford -- stop the heinous mass murder of innocent men, women and children in churches, concerts and public spaces?

Citizens may think forming and participating in a Militia is a hassle, but getting shot is much MORE of a hassle.

The NRA is also in a uniquely advantageous position to promote revitalization of "well regulated Militia" because such an objective is already written into its very own by-laws.

TITLE:

"The purposes and objective of the National Rifle Association are: 1. To protect and defend the Constitution of the United States, especially with respect to the inalienable right of the individual American citizen guaranteed by such Constitution to acquire, possess, collect, exhibit, transport, carry, transfer ownership of, and enjoy the right to use arms, in order that the people may always be in a position to exercise their legitimate individual rights of self defense and defense of family, person, and property, as well as **to serve in the appropriate militia for the common defense** of the Republic and the individual liberty of its citizens; 2. To promote public safety, law and order, and the national defense; 3. **To train members of law enforcement agencies, the armed forces, the militia,** and people of good repute in marksmanship and in the safe handling and efficient use of small arms."

NARRATOR

Because the Militia are governmental institutions, their revitalization will require governmental action.

Granted the NRA is in an anomalous position, for the NRA is a private organization. Fortunately its had a long and successful relationship with the federal government as well as the states. No similar private organization can boast this.

Thus a symbiotic relationship already exists between the NRA and the government. This could greatly facilitate a revitalization effort.

By providing public officials with expert guidance and assistance in revitalizing the Militia, the NRA could become an even more reliable, effective, and respected partner with government at every level.

TITLE: See "NRA Outdoors Offers Various Classes for 2016", American Rifleman (January 2016), at 102.

For example, the NRA already offers extensive programs for training Americans in the safe and effective use of firearms. Coupled with such new programs as the "Survival Class," the "Tactical Carbine Class," and the "Long Range School," these could easily provide building-blocks for a comprehensive course of basic Militia training.

Moreover, the NRA has strengths that no other private organization can match. These include an historical pedigree; a large and committed membership; experienced leadership; significant financial resources; qualified firearms instructors; tested programs; a record of successful candidates and effective lobbyists; a favorable reputation among most sensible Americans; and a voice which the Mainstream Media cannot silence.

Yes, even while under incessant attack by "gun-control" fanatics in the Mainstream Media, the NRA has steadily increased its membership, activities and influence.

These successes suggest that, in addition to defending the last 14 words of the Second Amendment, the NRA could easily advance the cause of the first 13 words. And this would be a monumental accomplishment at this juncture in the course of human events.

But were the NRA to take up this challenge, it's a certainty that the "gun-control" lobby and other enemies of "a free State" -- would emerge from their caves and attack ferociously.

This, however, would only prove how vital to the survival of the country a revitalized Militia is. For, as the old saying goes, "you know when you're bombing right over the target because the FLACK is the greatest."

Indeed, the last thing the Globalists who have usurped power in Washington DC want to see is well-trained, well-regulated "good guys with guns" peppered all over the 50 states "infringing" on THEIR "gun-free zones."

So what could we respectfully suggest that the NRA do?

First, they should not recede from the advocacy of "the individual right to keep and bear arms". They are doing an excellent job with this.

Second, they should add to the right to keep and bear for the protection of the INDIVIDUAL the idea that the individual should also keep and bear for the protection of the COMMUNITY. By doing this they would be emphasizing the advantages of a revitalized Militia System.

This could be done by directing leadership and staff to educate the organization's members, public officials, political candidates, opinion leaders, media pundits, and WE THE PEOPLE about the Militia System.

The NRA should inform all these people what is really at stake in the acrimonious national debate about "gun-control."

The NRA should emphasize that ultimately the debate over "gun-control" is NOT about "guns" versus "no guns" or "less guns" verses "certain guns." It is about "GOOD GUYS WITH GUNS" versus "BAD GUYS WITH GUNS" as NRA leader, Wayne LaPierre, rightly points out.

It is about whether WE THE PEOPLE will retain, in our own hands, the practical ability, and the supreme legal authority, to insure that we will always govern ourselves, rather than being governed by some ELITE with a MONOPOLY on guns.

It is about whether Americans will restore and preserve "a free State" or become the subjects of some sort of POLICE state.

(beat)
Are we asking too much?

Hardly. There is no reason the leaders, members and friends of the NRA cannot join patriots like those who once mustered at Lexington and Concord.

Just as the Founding Fathers mustered the foresight to understand why "the right to keep and bear" can ONLY be guaranteed if WE THE PEOPLE are organized in "well regulated Militia" -- the NRA could carry the banner of this foresight today.

And just as the Founders mustered the courage to commit themselves to the defense of "a free State" in the only manner in which the Declaration of Independence could be viable -- the NRA could carry the banner of this courage today.

Most of all, Americans today can and should take note of these challenges and commend the NRA for its courage in defending the Second Amendment as it does.

But in the final analysis it will first be up to you -- the viewers of this film -- to understand the original intent of the Founders and encourage WE THE PEOPLE to apply all aspects of the Constitution as written -- or amend the Constitution if need be.'

Concerned citizens should ask at least three questions:

First. If the Second Amendment contains 27 words, is it prudent to only focus on the last 14 words?

Second. How can Americans claim to defend "a free State" if they neglect what the Second Amendment declares to be "necessary to the security of a free State"?

And third. How can just the "individual right to keep and bear arms" provide Americans the organization and training required for a collective defense at every level of the federal government?

Ask questions like these, and demand answers. If you are uncertain as to how a Constitutional Militia works, watch our two earlier documentaries -- MOLON LABE and MIDNIGHT RIDE. And for details, read the following books:

TITLE: Recommended reading:

Constitutional "Homeland Security"

Thirteen Words

Three Rights

The Sword and Sovereignty

By Tyranny Out of Necessity: The Bastardy of "Martial Law"

NARRATOR

But if you have scarce time to watch movies or read books, consider this. What would a country with a revitalized state Militia System be like?

Such a country would be a place where everyone knew and cared about their neighbors.

A place where one and all are trained in the basics of survival.

A place no longer patrolled by strangers in uniform providing but the ILLUSION of security -- but a place where familiar neighbors play a part providing REAL security.

Such a country would be a place where citizens feel it's THEIR country. Not a country owned and governed by elites in distant cities.

In the end, a self-governing nation must be a self-protecting nation for the police will always be 11 minutes away. On the other hand "good guys with guns", Oath Keepers and NRA Members are always present ... and potentially present everywhere.

Let's use that potential.

Let's command the *Power of the Sword* to secure the Blessings of Liberty. We have everything to WIN and nothing to lose.